

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/709,042	04/08/2004	Thomas C. Tieamey JR.	GEMS0239PA			
27256 7	7590 09/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C.			KIKNADZ	KIKNADZE, IRAKLI		
28333 TELEG	RAPH RD.		ADTIBUT	DADED MED ODED		
SUITE 250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034			2882			
			DAMED 144 H DD 00/16/000	_		

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		/	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
			10/709,042		TIEARNEY ET AL.		AW	
		(Examiner		Art Unit			
			Irakli Kiknadz	e.	2882			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appea	ars on the co	over sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Notes of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this composite of the second period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(munication. tatutory period will a y will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS (a). In no event, apply and will example the applicate	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tire spire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on	•					
2a)□	·	2b)⊠ This ac		-final				
, —		osecution as to the	- merits is					
الـــا	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims		,,,,,,,	,				
_		application						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-24</u> is/a	• •	from consid	deration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	IC WILLIAM AND	HOIH COHSIC	cration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.							
	` ' —							
/ <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	alaction requ	iirement				
ا (٥	Claim(s) are subject to result	Juon and/or e	siection requ	illement.				
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 08 April 2004	<u>4</u> is/are: a)⊠	accepted.c	or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the dra	awing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	n is required	if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Exan	miner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P7	ГО-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:	•	·)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority							
	2. Certified copies of the priority			• •			•	
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•			ed in this National	Stage		
	application from the Internation	•		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* (See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of	the certified	d copies not receive	ed.		·	
Attachmen	• •							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO 040	4)	Interview Summary				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or	·	5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Daniel Paper Notice of Informal Paper No		D-152)		
· —	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/8/2004</u> .		6)	Other:	·	-		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-16, drawn to rotary x-ray anode, classified in class 378, subclass 144.
- II. Claims 17-24, drawn to method of making an x-ray anode, classified in class 228, subclass 122.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make a materially different product such as joining of dense bodies of refractory metal such as tungsten or molybdenum to carbonaceous bodies to make graphite battery or energy storage cell, the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as low pressure plasma spraying (LPPS), chemical vapor deposition (CVD).

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. During a telephone conversation with R. Vincent (Reg. No. 55,771) on September 6, 2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of I, claims 1-16. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 17-24 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Objections

5. Claims 28-20 and 22-24 are objected to because of the following informalities: these informalities have been discussed during a telephone conversation with applicant's attorney R. Vincent. It has been established that claims 18-20 should depend on claim 17 and claims 22-24 should depend on claim 21. Appropriate correction is required.

Further follows the examination of claims 1-16.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-5 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lounsberry et al. (US Patent 4,573,185).

With respect to claims 1-5 and 16, Lounsberry teaches a lightweight rotating x-ray anode comprising (Fig. 1) a graphite substrate material (12); a refractory metal target material such as tungsten (18); a CTE material layer of rhenium (21) coupling the substrate material (12) to the target material (18) (column 3, lines 4-32).

8. Claims 1-5, 7, 8 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Truszkowska (US Patent 5,875,228).

With respect to claims 1-5, 7, 8 and 16, Truszkowska teaches a lightweight rotating x-ray anode comprising (Fig.2) a substrate material such as carbon-carbon composite fiber (20); a refractory metal target material such as tungsten alloy (22); a CTE material layer (24) coupling the substrate material (20) to the target material (22). The CTE material layer is layered sequentially from the substrate material and layered horizontally from the substrate surface (column 4, lines 15-37).

9. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Horner et al. (US Patent Application Publication No. US2003/0006269 A1).

Page 5

With respect to claims 1-6, Truszkowska teaches a lightweight x-ray anode comprising a substrate material such as carbon-carbon composite fiber; a refractory metal target material such as tungsten alloy or molybdenum alloy; a CTE material layer coupling the substrate material to the target material ([0009];[0010]; [0015] and [0016]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Truszkowska (US Patent 5,875,228).
- 12. With respect to claim 9, Truszkowska teaches claimed invention except the CTE material layer has an approximate coefficient of thermal expansion averaging between each of the adjacent materials. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made to use the CTE material having the approximate coefficient of thermal expansion averaging between each of the adjacent materials in the x-ray anode of Truszkovska, since such modification would to gradually relive the thermal expansion mismatch stress between carbonaceous material of the anode substrate and refractory metal of a focal track of the target.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,042

Art Unit: 2882

13. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Truszkowska (US Patent 5,875,228) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Horner et al. (US Patent Application Publication No. US2003/0006269 A1).

With respect to claim 6, Truszkovska teaches claimed invention except that the target material is a molybdenum alloy. Horner teaches an x-ray anode made from tungsten alloy or molybdenum alloy on graphite or a carbon-carbone composite support. These materials have great strength and are readily commercially available ([0019]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made to employ Molybdenum alloy for equally alternative target material as suggested by Horner in the x-ray anode of apparatus Truszkovska, since such modification would providing the durable and readily commercially available x-ray target while not changing the scope of the invention.

14. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horner et al. (US Patent Application Publication No. US2003/0006269 A1)

With respect to claims 10-13, Horner teaches that at room temperature, the CTE of tungsten is about 4.5x10⁻⁶/°C, the CTE of Molybdenum is about 5.43x10⁻⁶/°C and the CTE of a carbonaceous substrate is about 1x10⁻⁶/°C ([0016]). A layer of a material comprising a mixture of particles of a refractory metal boride and of a metal carbide, providing a layer with the coefficient of thermal expansion to form an intermediate barrier reliving the thermal expansion mismatch stress between carbonaceous material of the anode substrate and refractory metal of a focal track of the target ([0010]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 2882

made to employ the CTE material layers with differing coefficient of thermal expansion in the x-ray anode to gradually relive the thermal expansion mismatch stress between carbonaceous material of the anode substrate and refractory metal of a focal track of the target. Further, It would be obvious to use material having the specific differing coefficient of thermal expansion, such as 2x10⁻⁶/°C or 1x10⁻⁶/°C or less than 1x10⁻⁶/°C, as claimed in claims 11-13, to accommodate specific anode substrate/target material arrangement.

15. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horner et al. (US Patent Application Publication No. US2003/0006269 A1) applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Lewis et al. (US Patent 6,395,220 B1).

With respect to claim 14 and 15, Horner teaches that the CTE material layer comprises tungsten, tungsten borides, tungsten carbides, molybdenum, molybdenum borides, and molybdenum carbides ([0010]) but fails to teach chopped carbon fiber. Lewis teaches chopped pitch fiber, wherein varying the coefficient of thermal expansion is achieved by altering the proportions of the carbon fiber material (column 2, lines 1-10). This invention using novel binder pitch provides a desirably lower transverse and longitudinal coefficient of thermal expansion than conventionally made graphite bodies (column 1, lines 5-11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made to employ the CTE material layers with chopped carbon fiber in the x-ray anode to further relive the thermal expansion mismatch stress between

Application/Control Number: 10/709,042 Page 8

Art Unit: 2882

carbonaceous material of the anode substrate and refractory metal of a focal track of the target.

Conclusion

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irakli Kiknadze whose telephone number is 571-272-2493. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ed Glick can be reached on 571-272-2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Irakli Kiknadze September 12, 2005

JK

EDWARD J. SCION